

## Wellington School

Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

2017-2018

## Pupil premium strategy statement Wellington School

| 1. Summary information              |         |  |  |  |               |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|---------------|
| School Wellington School, Timperley |         |  |  |  |               |
| Academic Year                       | 2017-18 | Total PP budget                        | £119,680- PP<br>£11,621- Catch-Up<br>Premium | Date of most recent PP<br>Review               | November 2016 |
| Total number of pupils              | 1383    | Number of<br>pupils eligible<br>for PP | 151  | Date for next internal review of this strategy | July 2018     |

| 2. Cı   | urrent attainment (February 2018)  |  |                                       |  |  |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|   | Progress 8 score was 0.02 2016-2017 (National Other was -<br>Progress 8 score was -0.44 2015-2016  | 0.4) Pupils eligible for PP (you school) | Pupils not eligible for (your school) |  |  |
| Progre  | ess 8 score average  | 0.619                                    | 0.280                                 |  |  |
| Attainment 8 score average49.2452.40  |  |  | 52.40                                 |  |  |
| 3. Ba   | rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)   |  |                                       |  |  |
| In-sch  | ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor lite  | eracy skills)                            |                                       |  |  |
| Α.  | A. <u>Mathematics and Open Bucket performance in Year 11:</u> To improve GCSE outcomes in Maths. (P8 -0.14 in 2017) and in Open bucket subjects (P8 -0.43 in 2017) |  |                                       |  |  |
| В.  | <b>Curriculum:</b> To develop further, the range of intervention straprogress in line with or exceeding the progress of their non P                                |  | sure PP students in all years make    |  |  |
| C. <u>Transition KS2 to KS3:</u> To embed strategies to narrow attainment gaps at Key Stage 3. To ensure all students are secondary ready through effective spend of Catch-up Premium               |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| Extern  | al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, suc  | ch as low attendance rates)              |                                       |  |  |
| D.  | Attendance: To further embed strategies addressing the atter   | ndance gap between PP-eligible and n     | on PP-eligible students               |  |  |
| E <u>Parental Engagement with a specific focus on homework:</u> To develop strategies to maintain and build positive relations with disengaged parents. To ensure homework completion is increased. |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| 4. Desired outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured) Success criteria   |  |  |                                       |  |  |

| A. | <ul> <li>Strengthen staffing in Maths to improve QFT</li> <li>Outcomes improved for all of the identified cohorts in school</li> <li>Improved GCSE outcomes in Maths at year 11</li> <li>To narrow early-emerging gaps in Year 7 through Wave 1(in-class) and Wave 2 interventions (outside the classroom) through effective spending of Catch-Up Premium</li> </ul>                       | <ul> <li>A reduction in the attainment &amp; progress gap of PP and non-PP students in year 7 - 11 in mathematics, enabling the cohort to make progress in-line with or exceeding the progress of their non-PP peers.</li> <li>A P8 score of zero or more for Maths KS4 outcomes in August 2018</li> </ul>   |
|----|--|--|
| В. | <ul> <li>Alternative qualifications and/or curriculum pathways are provided for those students in danger of not achieving good grades in 8 subjects at GCSE.</li> <li>E.g. V-Certs in Business, Drama</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>To ensure a positive P8 score for PP</li> <li>To have a bespoke alternative curriculum for identified cohort of students in Year 9 (introduction of V-Certs and CoPE)</li> </ul>  |
| C. | <ul> <li>Information sharing from feeder primary schools and KS2 SATs scores build up accurate profiles of new Year 7 intake.</li> <li>ASP data used effectively to ensure Year 7 students are secondary ready</li> <li>Purchase of MAZE Education to allow for deeper analysis of KS2 data</li> <li>Effective early catch-up interventions are in place and are impactful.</li> </ul>     | <ul> <li>Low achievers assisted in order to catch-up with their peer's sooner.<br/>Use of Catch-Up Premium- see Catch up premium documentation.</li> <li>Use of RAISE KS2 breakdown of SATs performance to identify skills<br/>gaps in Maths and English and ensure interventions are quickly put in<br/>place to narrow gaps.</li> <li>Attainment gaps in Maths and English are narrowed.</li> <li>Expansion of primary links related to Maths (appointment of a KS3 lead<br/>in Maths)</li> </ul>  |
| D. | <ul> <li>PP eligible students have good attendance and high<br/>levels of C2L and are therefore able to fully access<br/>the curriculum and additional extra-curricular<br/>opportunities.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>% Attendance gap between PP eligible and national non-PP and school non-PP eligible reduced</li> <li>Attendance is not a barrier to learning</li> <li>High pupil "buy-in" to revised Wellington Values.</li> <li>Year 8 and 9 engagement in PiXL Edge programme</li> </ul>  |
| E. | <ul> <li>School works effectively to build positive relations with disengaged parents.</li> <li>Effective strategies are embedded where positive relationships are not possible and the school must take on "loco parentis" to ensure students flourish.</li> <li>The school help build the cultural capital of students by assisting to fund trip and co-curricular activities</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Attendance of PP parents to parents evening is in line with Non-PP eligible parents</li> <li>Where it is not, suitable alternatives are in place- home visits etc.</li> <li>Regular communication home via Pastoral Managers and Directors of Year and classroom teaching staff- both positive and for intervention.</li> <li>Decrease in HW referrals for identified cohort as a way of measuring parental support towards Wellington.</li> <li>High attendance of PP students on trip, visits and co-curricular activities</li> </ul> |

| Academic year   | 2017-18  |  |   |   |                         |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| support and su  | lings below enable schools to demonstrate pport whole school strategies.   | now they are using the Pupil Premium to improve  | classroom ped   | lagogy, provid  | de targeted             |
| Desired<br>outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will<br>you ensure<br>it is<br>implement<br>ed well?                            | Staff lead<br>&<br>Costings   | Review<br>date?         |
| Ensure quality<br>first teaching<br>provision for all<br>learners, to<br>ensure<br>interventions<br>outside the<br>classroom<br>become less<br>necessary. | <ul> <li>All staff have seating plans that clear PP-eligible students to ensure feed questioning are targeted appropria</li> <li>PP is always an agenda item in ever meeting.</li> <li>Whole school focus on Feedback-(all student response to feedback i pen) Marking symbols developmer ensure students are required to resting.</li> <li>SLT Focus Fortnight on feedback-demonstrating excellent practice practice practice practice of the staff meeting to disseminate ideas.</li> <li>Wellington Teaching strategy book disseminated to all new staff.</li> <li>SIP/SEF focus around Framework Excellence.</li> <li>T&amp;L focus built into CPD around clear wellington Top Ten- Quality First Tothe Number 1 strategy</li> <li>NQT and ITT- receive training of Prinduction process</li> </ul> | back and<br>rely.and Families (DCSF), a<br>predecessor to Dept for<br>Education (DfE).<br>QFT, "demands 100%<br>participation from the pupils, and<br>sets high and realistic<br>challenges. It does not 'spoon<br>feed', it is challenging and<br>demanding; it expects pupils to<br>be able to articulate their ideas,<br>understanding and thinking by<br>actively promoting pupil talk."<br>page 10 (taken from The Key)EEF- Feedback<br>Feedback is information given to<br>the learner and/or the teacher<br>about the learner's performance<br>relative to learning goals. It<br>should aim towards (and be<br>capable of producing)<br>improvement in students'<br>learning. | -Look at<br>interims to<br>maintain a<br>check on<br>progress<br>-Student<br>voice. | KSY/SFK<br>LCR/DoYs<br>/HoDs<br>Staffing<br>costs:<br>Salaries -<br>£91,339<br>Other<br>fixed costs<br>- £6,962 | After each<br>data drop |

| Metacognition<br>and Mastery<br>strategies in<br>KS3 | <ul> <li>Part of Trafford Closing the Gap group</li> <li>Successful funding bid for metacognition training</li> <li>LCR basic staff training</li> <li>All staff have metacognition PowerPoint slide</li> <li>X3 staff and 11 pupils received a day of metacognition training</li> <li>Maths, English and Science utilise strategies.</li> <li>Maths investment on Hegerty Maths – designed to increase student independence, give immediate feedback.</li> <li>Numeracy Ninjas used in Year 7 tutor time programme.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><u>EEF- Mastery learning.</u><br/>Moderate impact for very<br/>low cost, based on<br/>moderate evidence.<br/><u>Impact +5 months</u></li> <li>Meta-cognition and self-<br/>regulation approaches<br/>have consistently high<br/>levels of impact, with<br/>pupils making an<br/>average of eight<br/>months' additional<br/>progress. The evidence<br/>indicates that teaching<br/>these strategies can be<br/>particularly effective for<br/>low achieving and older<br/>pupils.<br/><u>Impact +8 months</u></li> </ul> | Pupil voice<br>Interim data<br>drops- C2L | LCR<br>CSR<br>RWS<br>DME<br>£2,500<br>(carried<br>from last<br>year) | Summer<br>term |
|--|--|---|---|--|----------------|
|--|--|---|---|--|----------------|

| The quality of<br>feedback to<br>learners helps<br>progress.<br>Feedback<br>redirects or<br>refocuses<br>either the<br>teacher's or<br>the<br>learner's<br>actions to<br>achieve a goal,<br>by aligning<br>effort and<br>activity with an<br>outcome. | <ul> <li>New marking and feedback policy launched to staff September 2017</li> <li>Use of school-wide marking symbols to ensure consistency. Use of -&gt; to ensure students develop their written responses based on feedback. – SLT Marking a feedback Focus week</li> <li>Assessment at KS3 overhauled in light of changes to KS4 Programmes of study. Post-assessment feedback sessions built into curriculum time</li> <li>Mentoring extended across KS3 and 4 to PP students- to allow early identification of barriers and narrow attainment and attendance gaps lower down- All Year 11 students have a mentor- to feedback on predicted grades and set targets.</li> <li>Continuation of "Go Green" When a green screen appears in the room- students must utilise a green pen and respond to written feedback n books. This is to increase automaticity of student response and to ensure they are responding to teacher feedback.</li> <li>Make feedback as "live" as possible- ie in class and immediate- but also build in time for students to respond to this feedback</li> <li>February 2018- Focus fortnight on feedback – good practice to be shared at Staff meeting in March 2018</li> </ul> | <b>EEF- Feedback</b> "Feedback<br>studies tend to show very high<br>effects on learning. However, it<br>also has a very high range of<br>effects and some studies show<br>that feedback can have negative<br>effects and make things worse. It<br>is therefore important to<br>understand the potential benefits<br>and the possible limitations of the<br>approach. In general, research-<br>based approaches that explicitly<br>aim to provide feedback to<br>learners, such as Bloom's<br>'mastery learning', also tend to<br>have a positive impact. Feedback<br>has effects on all types of learning<br>across all age groups. Research<br>in schools has focused<br>particularly on English,<br>mathematics and, to a lesser<br>extent, science." High impact for<br>very low cost, based on moderate<br>evidence. Impact +8months | SLT focus<br>weeks-<br>lesson<br>observation<br>s and book<br>scrutiny.<br>Student<br>voice.<br>SLT book<br>scans to<br>ensure Go<br>Green is<br>being<br>adopted<br>across the<br>school | £500        | SLT focus<br>week- Half<br>term 2-<br>Marking<br>and<br>assessme<br>nt<br>Interim<br>reports/dat<br>a drop-<br>post<br>GCSE<br>mocks |
|---|--|--|---|-------------|--|
|   |  |  | Total bud   | dgeted cost | £101,301<br>(Minus<br>£2500<br>carried<br>over)  |

| ii. Targeted s  | upport   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Desired<br>outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will<br>you ensure<br>it is<br>implement<br>ed well?   | Staff<br>Lead and<br>costings  | Review<br>date   |
| To ensure<br>ease of<br>transition<br>between year<br>6 and year 7,<br>and ensure<br>that the most<br>vulnerable<br>students in the<br>cohort receive<br>additional<br>support. The<br>gap between<br>PP and non-<br>PP students in<br>year 7<br>narrows,<br>especially in<br>Maths | <ul> <li>Purchase of Edukey- Pupil Passports for Year<br/>7 PP and SEND cohort</li> <li>Maths Parents Evening for Year 7s below 100<br/>expected score for Maths</li> <li>TA attached to every Year 7 form in half-term<br/>1- will then move to morning intervention once<br/>baseline testing completed.</li> <li>Appointment of a fixed DOY 7 (alongside fixed<br/>Pastoral Manager) to strengthen transition<br/>from an academic as well as pastoral side.</li> <li>Year 7 low attaining sets are smaller in size</li> <li>Purchase of Hegerty Maths, Numeracy Ninjas,<br/>GL Assessments -English and Maths,<br/>Edinburgh Reading Test</li> <li>Letterbox Literacy- reading book pack given<br/>out at Year 7 parents evening in March 2018</li> <li>Mentoring extended to KS3- PP students</li> <li>All Year 7 have a Year 9 mentor (Year 9 use<br/>this for their PixL edge- buy-in)</li> </ul> | EEF- Small Group Tuition<br>+4 months<br>EEF- Peer tutoring<br>+5 months<br>EEF- Reading Comprehension<br>strategies<br>+5 months<br>EEF- Reducing class size<br>+3 months<br>EEF- Mentoring<br>+1 months | Identificatio<br>n of<br>students via<br>year 6<br>transition<br>information<br>and teacher<br>data<br>LSA<br>support for<br>students in<br>need e.g.<br>those in<br>receipt of<br>EHCP<br>Distribution<br>of Pupil<br>Passport. | CHT/GHN<br>/ABE/IHY/<br>RWS<br>Catch up<br>resources<br>£2,000<br>Staffing | Data drop<br>in<br>November<br>Maths<br>Baselines<br>October |

| PP eligible<br>students have<br>a suitable<br>environment<br>for completion<br>of HW and the<br>means to<br>complete it to<br>a good<br>standard  | • <b>Early Birds</b> Breakfast Club- Breakfast club<br>now run by a Teaching Assistant in a<br>classroom- providing a breakfast and<br>assistance with Homework for the most<br>vulnerable students.  | EEF-Homework (Secondary)<br>Moderate impact for very low or<br>no cost, based on moderate<br>evidence. Impact+5months<br>EEF- Mentoring. "There is some<br>evidence that pupils from<br>disadvantaged backgrounds can<br>benefit by up to about two<br>months' additional progress.<br>Impact+2months   | Use of<br>Behaviour<br>Watch to<br>track<br>HW<br>referrals to<br>identify the<br>cohort.  | LCR/<br>DoYs<br>£1,400  | Every half<br>term-<br>cross<br>reference<br>with<br>referrals<br>on<br>Behaviour<br>Watch |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| PP eligible<br>students are<br>equipped with<br>skills (including<br>raising self-<br>esteem and<br>self-<br>motivation)<br>and resources<br>needed to<br>maximise<br>the revision<br>process to<br>improve<br>outcomes | <ul> <li>Revision guides and equipment provided by<br/>the school.</li> <li>Access to GCSE Pod</li> <li>Aspirational Learning Programme for Year 11<br/>and selected KS3 students – focusing on<br/>revision techniques, goal setting, growth mind-<br/>set.</li> <li>Period 6/lunchtime intervention sessions</li> <li>PiXL Edge for all of Year 8 and Year 9</li> <li>PP monies to ensure PP eligible students can<br/>access all extra-curricular opportunities – Duke<br/>of Edinburgh, school trips, Zumba classes,<br/>Theatre trips etc</li> </ul> | EEF- By 'character' or<br>'essential skills' the EEF means<br>a set of attitudes, skills and<br>behaviours that are thought to<br>underpin success in school and<br>work, such as self-control, social<br>skills, motivation, and resilience.<br>Evidence suggests that support to<br>develop these attributes may be<br>particularly important for children<br>from disadvantaged backgrounds. | -Attendance<br>at session<br>run by<br>Aspirational<br>Learning<br>-Attendance<br>at holiday<br>revision<br>sessions.<br>-Student<br>voice<br>-Hits on<br>GCSE pod | SHS/<br>CWS/<br>LCR<br>Trips-<br>£16,000<br>Speakers-<br>£8,000<br>Study Aids<br>£3,500<br>Taxis<br>£300<br>Uniform<br>£850 | Interims/<br>Data<br>drops.  |

| To improve the<br>P8 scores of<br>PP eligible<br>students<br>through<br>appropriate<br>curriculum<br>offers and<br>qualifications.<br>(particular<br>focus on Open<br>Bucket) | <ul> <li>Alternative pathway for Year 9 students who are emerging as requiring alternative curriculum offer due to being lower ability, or at risk of permanent exclusion- investigation into V-Certs,</li> <li>Use of PixL Strategies to track progress- War cards/DTT/PLCs/WTM</li> <li>Use of PiXI Curve exams</li> </ul> | Taken from The Key October<br>2016- "An article on the Sec Ed<br>website also offers advice on<br>planning for progress 8. It says<br>that schools should ensure that<br>KS3 and 4 pupils do not study too<br>many or too few subjects. This<br>must be balanced with the need<br>to provide a curriculum that<br>continues to service their<br>communities appropriately." | War cards-<br>P8 figures<br>analysed<br>Pre mocks<br>and mocks-<br>data<br>analysis | SFK/SBY/<br>LCR/RCD/<br>SHS/CWS | Pre mocks<br>and<br>mocks-<br>data<br>analysis |  |
|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
|   |  |   | Total bud   | dgeted cost                     | £32,050  |  |
| iii. Other approaches   |  |   |   |                                 |  |  |
|   |  |   |   | 1                               |  |  |
| Desired<br>outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will<br>you ensure<br>it is<br>implement<br>ed well?                            | Staff lead<br>and<br>costings   | Review<br>date                                 |  |

| Reduction in<br>the attendance<br>gap between<br>PP and Non<br>PP students | <ul> <li>Designated pastoral managers- part funded by<br/>Pupil Premium monies who spend extra time<br/>of focus on PP eligible students- with particular<br/>focus on home-school liaison.</li> <li>Weekly meeting with EWO</li> <li>Use of HUB for reintegration of vulnerable<br/>students- access to maths and English tutoring</li> <li>Use of Pastoral Managers may be used to<br/>collect students who struggle to get into<br/>school.</li> </ul> | at the needs of their pupilsif<br>poor attendance is an issue, this<br>is addressed as a priority" <u>John</u><br><u>Dunford</u> The pupil premium | Half termly<br>Attendance<br>review | ECD/PMs<br>£53,000 | Half termly<br>data<br>analysis              |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
|  |   |  | Total bu                            | dgeted cost        | (Allocated<br>earlier-<br>staffing<br>costs) |